Resolving numerical star formation: A cautionary tale



James Wurster

Collaborator: Matthew Bate

SPHERIC 2019, Exeter, United Kingdom. June 27, 2019







Numerical star formation

Ideal MHD, $\mu_0=5$	Time: 244.47 yrs	Non-ideal MHD, $\mu_0=5$, -B _z , ζ_c	r=10 ⁻¹⁷ s ⁻¹	Time: 244.47 yrs	\triangleright	Code sphNG
					\succ	M_4 Cubic spline kernel
					\succ	Fully compressible SPH
					\succ	Sphere-in-box setup
						with periodic boundary conditions
					\succ	Evolved density over
						17 orders of magnitude
					\succ	Includes:
					•	adaptive <i>h</i>
					•	individual timesteps
					•	radiation non-ideal
						magnetohydrodynamics
video available: https://w	ww.youtube.	com/watch?v=duaA1	bu2wf8&t=1s			
100 au			100 au			
Wurster, Bate & Price (2018)		I = 90°	Im	ages at sımılar p _{max}		
		2				
-2 0	log column de	ensity [g/cm²]	4	6		

Wurster, Bate & Price (2018,ac)

Music: Jo-Anne Wurster

Global Evolution



- \triangleright ρ ~10⁻¹² g cm⁻³: Beginning of first core phase
- $\triangleright \rho \sim 10^{-8} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$: End of first core phase
- $\triangleright \rho \sim 10^{-4} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$: Birth of protostar
- > Evolution diverges around $\rho \sim 10^{-12}$ g cm⁻³ due to the different physical processes



Magnetohydrodynamics

Induction equation (continuum):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \right) = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \boldsymbol{v}$$

➢ Induction equation (discretised):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{B_a^i}{\rho_a}\right) = -\frac{1}{\Omega_a \rho_a^2} \sum_{b} m_b v_{ab}^i B_a^j \nabla_a^j W_{ab} \left(h_a\right)$$

Artificial resistivity (from Price, Würster + 2018):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{B_a^i}{\rho_a} \right) \Big|_{\mathrm{art}} = \frac{1}{\Omega_a \rho_a^2} \sum_b m_b v_{\mathrm{sig},ab} B_{ab}^i \hat{r}_{ab}^j \nabla_a^j W_{ab} \left(h_a \right)$$

Density (discretised):

$$\rho_a = \sum m_b W_b; \qquad h_a = 1.2 \left(\frac{m_a}{\rho_a}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

4

Orszag-Tang vortex: Resolution

> Main features are visible at all resolutions, but better defined for higher resolution



Global Evolution: Resolution



First hydrostatic core: end stage



Stellar core: Resolution



Computational expense



Conclusions

Collapse time and magnetic field strengths are governed by physical processes

≻Evolutions diverge during first hydrostatic core phase

Decreasing resolution permits faster collapses; relative collapse time is is preserved
During first hydrostatic core:

>Density structures are qualitatively similar

>Magnetic field structure resolution-dependent, especially nB_{+z}

>At stellar birth:

Density and magnetic field structure are resolution-dependent

>Performing very high resolution simulations can quickly become prohibitively expensive

Conference proceedings: https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.12276

James Wurster & Matthew R. Bate SPHERIC 2019, Exeter, United Kingdom: June 27, 2019

