The Effects of Irradiation on Hot Jovian Atmospheres

Kevin Heng

Zwicky Prize Fellow Institute for Astronomy, ETH Zürich

@ Exoclimes II, 18th Jan 2012

Collaborators: Frederic Pont (Exeter University) Rosalba Perna (University of Colorado) Peter Phillipps (GFDL-Princeton) Dargan Frierson (University of Washington) Adam Showman (University of Arizona) Nikku Madhusudhan (Princeton University) Joachim Stadel (University of Zurich) Simon Grimm (University of Zurich) David Sing (Exeter University)



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



Mollweide projection of temperature map near IR photosphere of a hot Jupiter

The puzzle of inflated hot Jupiters: an effect driven by stellar irradiation



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



us that the mechanism is related to or driven by the intensity of starlight, but they do not tell us what the mechanism is.

FIG. 1.— Planetary radii as a function of incident flux. Black filled circles are KOI ranked as planetary candidates in the frame of this work while gray diamonds represent KOI whose origin is ambiguous (see Sect. 3). Transiting giant planets previously published, and mostly from ground-based surveys, are shown as red triangles. The relevant parameters R_p , R_s , T_{eff} and a have been drawn from http://www.inscience.ch/transits on August 29, 2011.

Black points: Kepler candidates Red points: others

> Demory & Seager (2011) See also: Miller & Fortney (2011)

Key Questions:

How does dissipation and heat redistribution in hot Jupiters depend upon stellar irradiation?

Are the simulated trends broadly consistent with observations?

The GCM we use: the Flexible Modeling System (FMS)



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



interpretation of atmospheric, oceanic, and climate system models. For more de

Click an image for more details.



Screen shot of FMS web page

- Simulation platform developed at the **Geophysical Fluid Dynamics** Laboratory (GFDL) at Princeton.
- Robustly tested, parallel codes.
- Three dynamical core options:
 - 1. Spectral
 - 2. Finite difference
 - 3. Finite volume ("cubed sphere")
- Multitude of modules for different physics.

Gordon & Stern (1982); Anderson et al. (2004); Frierson, Held & Zurita-Gotor (2006, 2007). Exoplanet applications: Heng, Menou & Phillipps (2011); Heng, Frierson & Phillipps (2011); Pierrehumbert (2011); Heng & Vogt (2011).



The dual-band approximation (also called "double-grey")

Stellar irradiation and thermal emission (from hot Jupiters) occur at distinct wavelengths: **shortwave** versus **longwave**

$$\kappa_{\rm S} \equiv \frac{\int_{\rm S} \kappa_{\nu} J_{\nu} \, d\nu}{\int_{\rm S} J_{\nu} \, d\nu},$$

Shortwave opacity: absorption mean

$$\kappa_{\rm L} \equiv \frac{\int_{\rm L} \kappa_{\nu} J_{\nu} \, d\nu}{\int_{\rm L} J_{\nu} \, d\nu} \approx \frac{\int_{\rm L} \kappa_{\nu} B_{\nu} \, d\nu}{\int_{\rm L} B_{\nu} \, d\nu}, \quad \text{Longwave opacity:}$$
Planck mean

Mihalas (1978); Hubeny, Burrows & Sudarsky (2003); Hansen (2008); Guillot (2010); Heng, Hayek, Pont & Sing (2012)

GCMs: Frierson, Held & Zurita-Gotor (2006); Heng, Frierson & Phillipps (2011); Rauscher & Menou (2012b)



Hot Jupiters are 3D beasts



See also work by Dobbs-Dixon et al., Rauscher & Menou, Showman et al., Thrastarson & Cho.



Hot Jupiters as heat engines

Stellar irradiation

this step is done by the GCM

Issues:

- GCMs not designed to treat shocks (Li & Goodman 2010) - numerical KE loss (Rauscher & Menou 2012a)

Horizontal winds

Heat

this step comes from post-processing

shocks: Mach > 1 Ohmic: Perna, Menou & Rauscher (2010b)

See also: Goodman (2009)



Three baseline models

<u>Cold</u>: irradiation is 0.1 times threshold value

<u>Warm</u>: irradiation is threshold value suggested by Demory & Seager (2011)

Hot: irradiation is 10 times threshold value



Three baseline models: temperature-pressure profiles





Dissipation of energy via shocks



Perna, Heng & Pont (2012, to be submitted)



Magnetic drag and Ohmic dissipation



cf. E. Rauscher's talk

A global, exoplanetary-scale manifestation of Lenz's law

Perna, Menou & Rauscher (2010a,b); Batygin & Stevenson (2010); Batygin, Stevenson & Bodenheimer (2011)

Ohmic dissipation: dependence of penetration depth on opacity



Perna, Heng & Pont (2012, to be submitted)



Dissipation as a function of stellar irradiation



See also: Menou (2012b)

Perna, Heng & Pont (2012, to be submitted)



Heat redistribution: a competition



See also: Showman & Guillot (2002), Cowan & Agol (2011)

Perna, Heng & Pont (2012, to be submitted)

The hotspot offset is an indication of the efficiency of heat redistribution



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



See also: Showman & Guillot (2002), Cowan & Agol (2011)

Perna, Heng & Pont (2012, to be submitted)



What do the observations tell us?





Summary

Our simulations suggest that irradiation is the main driver of varying dissipation and heat redistribution, with opacity variations introducing a scatter.

Our results are broadly consistent with the existing observational trends.

1D models of temperaturepressure profiles **with scattering**



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Clouds/hazes introduce competing effects to the T-P profile of a hot Jupiter



Scattering in the optical cools/heats the lower/upper atmosphere



Also mimics collision-induced absorption via linear term

See also: Mihalas (1978); Hubeny et al. (2003); Hansen (2008); Guillot (2010)

See also work+talks by Benneke, Fortney, Helling, Marley, Morley, Parmentier.

Heng, Hayek, Pont & Sing (2012)

