Irradiated Atmospheres from the Spitzer Exoplanet ToO Program as of September 2010

> Joseph Harrington University of Central Florida

Atmospheres and Astrophysics

- Exoplanets is a field combining planetary science and astrophysics observation
- People want to affiliate with just one community
- Result: Everyone is "green" about something!
 - "Stars" people believe in planetary HR diagram
 - "Planets" people think stars are blackbodies
- Unification efforts started pre-2007 DPS
- Workshops like this are crucial and too rare!
- Go to the other kind of conference AAS, DPS
- Commit to formal learning stars, planets, stats

Phase-Curve Note: Neptune 1989

UH 2.2m





Large phase-curve amplitude due to tiny feature transiting disk. Hammel et al. (1992), *Icarus*

Neptune Variability





Spitzer Exoplanet ToO Program

- Secondary eclipses of new exoplanets
- Target of Opportunity (ToO): obs in 2-8 months
- Data open to facilitate fast follow-up studies
- WE COLLABORATE WITH EVERYONE!
- Not ∞ time S/N & "interestingness" criteria
- Optimize: observations, photometry, systematics modeling, light curve production
- Very careful about believing results
- ~8 papers in 2010

New in the Past Year

- TrES-2 inverted or not?
- HAT-P-1b modest inversion
- GJ 436b all 6 Spitzer channels! Low CH,??
- υ And b new phase curve
- WASP-12b orbit circular (stay tuned for atm.)
- WASP-18b hot, nearest to a BB, phase curve
- WASP-14b new result, new intrapixel method
- WASP-17b (new result)
- HD 209458b "new" eclipse in "ch5" (IRS blue)
- Orbits eccentricity, periastron longitude, etc.

Orbit Dynamics – Ryan Hardy

- Secondary eclipse timing constrains $e \cos \omega$
- Include also RV, transit times (pro + amateur)
- MCMC explores orbit model parameter space
- WASP-12b, 14b, 18b, GJ 436b, HAT-P-13b



HD 209458b – Patricio Cubillos

- IRS Blue Peak-up Array ("ch5")
- 4 positions, 1 bad (hot pixel)
- Fills in between MIPS and IRAC
- Still refining photometric analysis





WASP-17b – D Anderson & A Smith

- Eclipses in 4 IRAC channels
- Atmospheric modeling in process



WASP-14b – Jasmina Blecic

- IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 8 μm
- New pixel-map method

• Not BB!

• See poster











WASP-18b – Nymeyer, Maxted

- 4 IRAC eclipses, 2 orbits
- ~3000 K, BB-like
- A~0, low day-night redist.
- Inversion suggested
- Submitted to ApJ





WASP-12b – Christopher Campo

- 4 IRAC eclipses + 2 reshoots
- Highest S/N of all our datasets!
- Campo et al. submitted to *ApJ*:

- Orbit likely circular

Madhusudhan et al. submitted

- Atmospheric analysis

- Hardy et al. in prep. (re-shoot)
 - Circular orbit, no precession
 - Consistent depths



GJ 436b

- Cool, Neptune-sized planet, M dwarf star
- 6 Spitzer channels!
- No detection at 4.5 μm



Stevenson et al. (2010), Nature

GJ 436b

- Madhusudhan atmosphere model
- CO, CH_4 , CO_2 , $H_2O + 6 T(p)$ parameters
- 1 million spectra computed, integrated, compared to data via MCMC sampler



GJ 436b

- Thermochemical equilibrium: lots of CH,
- Data: $7000 \times \text{less CH}_{A}$ than prediction!
- Abundant CO
- Beaulieu et al.: CH₄ in transit spectrum
- Transit spectrum has 2 limbs, dawn and dusk
- Night-side CH₄ could be blown over dawn limb
- CH₄ then destroyed by daytime photochemistry
- CO brought up by vertical mixing?





















Model-Independent Comparison

- Want model-independent atmospheric statistic
- Compare planetary output to input fluxes
- Compare measured output fluxes to each other
 Same or different planet
- Intuitive units wrt chemistry, clouds
- Stellar fluxes differ for each planet, not intuitive
- Temperature usual energy parameter in atmos.
- Try brightness (T_{b}) vs. equilibrium (T_{eq}) temps

Brightness Temperature

- Temperature of a similar blackbody that would give observed flux in that bandpass
- Measure of *flux*, not *T*, but related to object *T*
- If object is BB $\rightarrow T_{\rm b} = T$ in all filters
- T_{eff} is T_{b} of whole spectrum (infinite bandpass)
- T_{eq} is BB temp balancing received radiation
- $T_{\rm b} \sim T$ at max. of filter contribution function
- Can relate $T_{\rm b}$ to chemical & cloud temps

T_b vs. T_{eq}: 2007



*T*_b *vs. T*_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



*T*_b *vs. T*_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



*T*_b *vs. T*_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



*T*_b *vs. T*_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



T_b vs. T_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



*T*_b *vs. T*_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



*T*_b *vs. T*_{eq}: 2010 Sep 8



Spitzer Analysis Checklist

- Just because model fits does not mean it's right
- Eclipses require 10⁻⁴ accuracy!
- Worry about 2nd- & 3rd-order effects
- Observe in a flat pixel, 3 hours before, 2 after
- Try many apertures, centering methods
- Use subpixel photometry
- Try many intrapixel and ramp functions
- Run variations in all reasonable combinations
- Use SDNR, BIC, AIC to choose best, report ties
- Atmos: Report *T*(*p*) and contribution functions

MCMC Checklist

- Find the minimum with a minimizer
 - Rescale errors after 1st good fit, Spitzer's high
 - Test RMS error vs. bin size (red noise)
 - DO NOT report peak/median of each parameter distribution as best joint solution!
 - If MCMC *ever* finds better x², reminimize from there and restart MCMC
- Assess errors & correlations with MCMC
- Gelman-Rubin test for MCMC convergence
- Inspect histograms and correlation plots

Boring but Important: BS vs. MCMC

- MCMC: How likely is theory given the data?
- BS: Compared to the best fit, where does the truth lie, given the model? truth:data as data:BS
- BS is subtle!
- There are several BSs (using the right one?)
- Short section in Press et al. inadequate

 Does not discuss assumptions, limitations, interpretation (many adjustments needed)

- Read Efron & Tibshirani (1993 book) to do right
- Or just do MCMC, which is what you want

Conclusions

Spitzer is an atmosphere measuring machine!
 Even SOFIA can't reach longer Spitzer λs

- New results for WASP-12b, 14b, 17b, 18b, HD 209458b
- Exciting puzzle for GJ 436b!
- Model-independent statistic
- Lessons learned: observing and analyzing depends on the details